# **Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department**

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities. Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

Applicants are reminded that no grant funds and/or match can be expended or project activities conducted in any land owned or managed by the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

### Law Enforcement - Palmdale

G15-03-10-L01

#### Needs Assessment

No comment.

#### Law Enforcement Certification

 Page 3, #9. Applicant must describe how OHV in-lieu of tax funds are being used in LA County.

#### **Project Cost Estimate**

 Staff - "1. Sergeant & 2. Law Enforcement Officers". 2216 hours staff time requested. Applicant must clarify if they utilized all staff time awarded in last grant.  Others - "1. Training". Training costs seem excessive in relation to other grant requests. Applicant must clarify if this is training that would be required for OHV enforcement. Routine perishable skills training may only be included as indirect cost. Applicant must provide additional justification for this line item.

## Law Enforcement – Santa Clarita

G15-03-10-L02

## **Needs Assessment**

No comment.

#### Law Enforcement Certification

No comment.

## **Project Cost Estimate**

- Staff "1-3. Law Enforcement Officers". 3264 man hours requested seems excessive in relation to other grant requests. Applicant must clarify if they utilized all grant allocation awarded in last grant cycle.
- Equipment Purchase "2. Four wheel drive pick-up truck". Applicant must provide additional justification for purchase of a ¾ ton truck, when it appears that a ½ ton truck would fulfill this need.
- Others "1 & 2.Training". Costs seem excessive in relation to other grant requests. Applicant must clarify if this is training that would be required for OHV enforcement. Routine perishable skills training may only be included as indirect cost. Applicant must provide additional justification for this line item.

## Education & Safety

G15-03-10-S01

### **Project Description**

No comment.

## **Project Cost Estimate**

- Staff "Deputy Sheriff, OHPAL Instruction", "Sheriff Sergeant, Supervisor",
  "Deputy Sheriff, Transportation", Costs seem excessive. There are 4 deputies
  being paid overtime for a maximum of six youth per class. It is unclear why there
  will be 18 trained instructors for this project. Salary requested is officer overtime
  rate versus being adjusted for an instructor training youth. Applicant must adjust
  Project Cost Estimate accordingly.
- Staff "Deputy Sheriff, OHPAL Instruction" and "Deputy Sheriff, Transportation", overtime for transporting youth to and from training sites is an indirect cost. Applicant must move costs to the Indirect category.
- Staff "OHPAL Instructor Training" is an indirect cost. Applicant must move costs to the Indirect Category.
- Materials/Supplies "Safety Equipment" Training staff and associated costs is

- an indirect cost. Applicant must move costs to the Indirect cost category...
- Materials/Supplies "Emergency Medical Supplies" for Education projects are indirect costs. Applicant must move costs to the Indirect category.
- Equipment Use "Vehicle Operations and Maintenance", for the van/tow vehicle, mileage can only be claimed from the base of operations to where project activities will occur. Per regulations, the base of operations is an area located within the parameters of the project site.
- Equipment Use "Transport time" for deputy to transport a vehicle to a maintenance location is an indirect cost. Applicant must move costs to the Indirect category.

#### **Evaluation Criteria**

- #2 Applicant must verify the response of 100%. Also, the number of youth trained in narrative conflicts with the number of youth in the Project Description. Applicant must re-evaluate and adjust accordingly.
- #6 Narrative does not identify how meeting was publicly noticed, the location, nor does it explain how stakeholders are stakeholders to the project.
- #8 Narrative does not support the selection; "Other [Mobility]". Transporting students is not a method of education. Applicant must provide additional information explaining how the selection will be utilized for OHV education in the project.